

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/973,773	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YUN, HWA YOUNG	
	<b>Examiner</b> KIEU-OANH BUI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2623	

**All Participants:**

(1) KIEU-OANH BUI.

(2) Ms. ESTHER CHONG.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

103 rejection

**Claims discussed:**

Claims 1, 8, 9, 12, 17, 28

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Goldschmidt Iki et al. (US. 6,594,825 B1) and Haraguchi (U.S 5,721,803)


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The applicant's representative and the Examiner discussed on the new amendment and the prior art; the Examiner agreed that prior arts don't meet on the new amendment. The Examiner will update search and another office action will be forth coming.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**KIEU-OANH BUI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)